Exhibit 1

To Attorney Affirmation of Benjamin F. Neidl

Case 1:20-cv-01880-LJL Document 8 Filed 03/06/20 Page 1 of 2

AO 440 (Rev. 12/09) Summons in a Civil Action

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Southern District of New York

ANDREW SCHWARTZBERG)		
Plaintiff)		
v.)	Civil Action No.	1:20-cv-01880
CHET MINING CO, LLC and CHET STOJANOVICH)		
Defendant)		

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

To: (Defendant's name and address) CHET MINING CO, LLC 50 WEST STREET, APT. 29A NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10006

A lawsuit has been filed against you.

Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are: John F. Harwick, Esq.

E. Stewart Jones Hacker Murphy LLP 28 Second Street, Troy NY 12180

If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.

CLERK OF COURT

Date:	03/06/2020		/s./D.Howie
		STATE OF THE PARTY	Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

AO 440 (Rev. 12/09) Summons in a Civil Action

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the						
Southern District of New York						
ANDREW SCHWARTZBERG Plaintiff v. CHET MINING CO, LLC and CHET STOJANOVICH Defendant)	Civil Action No. 1:20-cv-01880					
SUMMONS IN A C	IVIL ACTION					
To: (Defendant's name and address) CHET STOJANOVICH 105 DUANE STREET, APT. 20F NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10007						
A lawsuit has been filed against you.						
Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are: John F. Harwick, Esq. E. Stewart Jones Hacker Murphy LLP 28 Second Street, Troy NY 12180						
If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.						
	CLERK OF COURT					
Date: 03/06/2020	/s./D.Howis Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk					
MILLER						



JS 44C/SDNY REV. 08/01/17

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS-44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet.

PLAINTIFFS			DEFENDANTS		
ANDREW SCHWARTZBE	RG		CHET STOJANOVICH ar	nd CHET MINING, CO., LL	C
ATTORNEYS (FIRM NAM	E, ADDRESS, AND TEL	EPHONE NUMBER	ATTORNEYS (IF KNOW!	N)	
JOHN F. HARWICK,ESQ./ 28 SECOND STREET, TR					
CAUSE OF ACTION (CITE (DO)		UNDER WHICH YOU ARE FIL L STATUTES UNLESS DIVER		TATEMENT OF CAUSE)	
28 U.S.C §1332					
Has this action, case, or p	roceeding, or one essent	lially the same been previo	rusly filed in SDNY at any	time? No ☑Yes ☐	e Previously Assigned
If yes, was this case Vol. [invol Dismissed.	No Yes If yes,	give date		
IS THIS AN INTERNATIONAL ARBITE	RATION CASE? NO	X Yes 🗌			
(PLACE AN [x] IN ONE BO	OX ONLY)	NATURE OF S	SUIT		
	TORTS			ACTIONS UNDER STATUTES	
CONTRACT [] 110 INSURANCE [] 120 MARINE [] 130 MILLER ACT [] 140 NEGOTIABLE INSTRUMENT [] 150 RECOVERY OF OVERPAYMENT & ENFORCEMENT OF JUDGMENT [] 151 MEDICARE ACT [] 152 RECOVERY OF DEFAULTED STUDENT LOANS (EXCL VETERANS) [] 153 RECOVERY OF OVERPAYMENT OF VETERANS [] 160 STOCKHOLDERS SUITS [] 160 STOCKHOLDERS SUITS [] 161 OTHER CONTRACT [] 165 FRANCHISE REAL PROPERTY [] 168 FRANCHISE REAL PROPERTY [] 210 LAND CONDEMNATION [] 220 FORECLOSURE [] 230 RENT LEASE & EJECTMENT [] 240 TORTS TO LAND [] 245 TORTS PRODUCT LIABILITY [] 240 TORTS TO LAND [] 245 TORTS PRODUCT LIABILITY [] 240 TORTS TO LAND [] 245 TORTS PRODUCT LIABILITY [] 240 TORTS TO LAND [] 245 TORTS PRODUCT LIABILITY [] 240 TORTS TO LAND [] 247 TORTS TO LAND [] 248 TORTS PRODUCT [] 249 ALL OTHER REAL PROPERTY	PERSONAL INJURY [] 310 AIRPLANE [] 316 AIRPLANE PRODUCT LIABILITY [] 320 ASSAULT, LIBEL & SLANDER [] 330 PEDERAL EMPLOYERS' LIABILITY [] 340 MARINE [] 340 MARINE [] 345 MARINE PRODUCT LIABILITY [] 350 MOTOR VEHICLE [] 358 MOTOR VEHICLE [] 358 MOTOR VEHICLE [] 359 MOTOR VEHICLE [] 360 OTHER PERSONAL INJURY MED MALPRACTICE ACTIONS UNDER STATUTES CIVIL RIGHTS [] 440 OTHER CIVIL RIGHTS (Non-Prisoner) [] 441 VOTING [] 442 EMPLOYMENT LIABILITIES - EMPLOYMENT [] 448 AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES - EMPLOYMENT [] 448 EDUCATION	PERSONAL INJURY [] 387 HEALTHCARE PHARMACEUTICAL PERSONAL INJURYPRODUCT LIABILITY [] 388 PERSONAL INJURY PRODUCT LIABILITY [] 388 ASEESTOS PERSONAL INJURY PRODUCT LIABILITY PERSONAL PROPERTY [] 370 OTHER PERSONAL PROPERTY DAMAGE [] 380 OTHER PERSONAL PROPERTY DAMAGE [] 385 PROPERTY DAMAGE [] 530 HAMBEAS [] 483 ALIEN DETAINEE [] 550 HABEAS CORPUS [] 530 HABEAS CORPUS [] 535 DEATH PENALTY [] 540 MANDAMUS & OTHER PRISONER CIVIL RIGHTS [] 655 PRISON CONDITION [] 585 CIVIL RIGHTS [] 655 PRISON CONDITION [] 580 CIVIL DETAINEE CONDITIONS OF CONFINEME	SEIZURE OF PROPERTY 21 USC 881 [] 690 OTHER PROPERTY RIGHTS [] 820 COPYRIGHTS [] 830 PATENT [] 830 PATENT [] 835 PATENT-ABBREVIATED [] 840 TRADEMARK LABOR [] 710 FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT [] 720 LABORAMINT RELATIONS [] 740 RAILWAY LABOR ACT [] 751 FAMILY MEDICAL LEAVE ACT (FMLA) [] 790 OTHER LABOR LITIGATION [] 791 EMPL RET INC SECURITY ACT (ERISA IMMIGRATION [] 485 NATURALIZATION APPLICATION [] 485 OTHER IMMIGRATION I] 485 OTHER IMMIGRATION [] 485 OTHER IMMIGRATION	SOCIAL SECURITY [] 881 HIA (1395ff) [] 882 ELACK LUNG (923) [] 884 SSID TITLE XV [] 685 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS [] 970 TAXES (U.S. Plaintiff or Defandant) [] 871 IRS-THIRD PARTY 2 8 USC 7809	OTHER STATUTES [] 375 FALSE CLAIMS [] 376 QUI TAM [] 400 STATE REAPPORTICNMENT [] 410 ANTITRUST [] 430 BANKS & BANKING [] 450 COMMERCE [] 460 DEPORTATION [] 470 RACKETEER INFLUENCED & CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT (RICO) [] 480 CONSUMER CREDIT [] 480 CONSUMER CREDIT [] 480 CONSUMER CREDIT [] 480 CABLE/SATELLITE TV [] 850 SECURITIES/ COMMODITIES/ EXCHANGE [] 691 OTHER STATUTORY ACTIONS [] 893 ENVIRONMENTAL MATTERS [] 895 ENVIRONMENTAL MATTERS [] 895 FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT [] 896 ARBITRATION [] 899 ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURE ACT/REVIEW OR APPEAL OF AGENCY DECISION [] 950 CONSTITUTIONALITY OF STATE STATUTES
UNDER F.R.C.P. 2	A CLASS ACTION	AS DEFINED BY IF SO, STATE:	LOCAL RULE FOR DIVI		
DEMAND \$901,100.0	0 OTHER	JUDGE HON.	KATHARINE H. PAF	RKER DOCKET NUMI	3ER_1:18-04-07848
Check YES only if demand	ded in complaint S UNO	NOTE: You mus	t also submit at the time of	filling the Statement of Re	latedness form (Form IH-32).

	Case 1:	20-cv-0	01880-LJL	Document 4	Filed 03/	04/20 P	age 2 of 2		
(PLACE AN x IN C	ONE BOX ONLY)		ORIGI	1				
1 Original Proceeding	2 Removed State Co	urt	3 Remanded from Appellate	4 Reinstated of Reopened	or 5 Tr	ansferred from pecify District)	6 Multidistrict [Litigation (Transferred)	7 Appeal to Distr Judge from Magistrate Jud	
		ties represe	Count				8 Multidistrict Litigation	n (Direct File)	
	is pro		,						
(PLACE AN x IN O		•	ANT 3 FED	BASIS OF JURIS ERAL QUESTION . NOT A PARTY)	SDICTION	ERSITY		ITY, INDICATE HIP BELOW.	į
	CIT	rizensh	IIP OF PRINCI	PAL PARTIES (FOR DIVER	RSITY CAS	SES ONLY)		
(Place an [X]) in one box for I	Plaintiff and	d one box for Defe	ndant)			·		
OITIZEN OF THE OTA	PTF				PTF DEF			PTF DEF	
CITIZEN OF THIS STA	TE []1	יון	FOREIGN COUNT		[]3[]3		ATED and PRINCIPAL PLA SS IN ANOTHER STATE	ACE []5 []5	
CITIZEN OF ANOTHER	R STATE [x]2	[]2	INCORPORATED of BUSINESS IN	PRINCIPAL PLACE THIS STATE	{ }4[* 4	FOREIGN N	NATION	[]6 []6	
PLAINTIFF(S) AD 1 GREENTRE BETHESDA M	E COURT		NTY(IES)						
DEFENDANT(S)		•	DUNTY(IES)						
CHET MINING	•				STOJANO		T 005		
50 WEST STE	•		6		IANE STF YORK, NE	•			
11244 101414, 1	NEW TOR	1 1000	O	1400	I OIXIX, INL	_vv 1 OI(i	X 10007		
DEFENDANT(S) ADDRESS UNKNOWN REPRESENTATION IS HEREBY MADE THAT, AT THIS TIME, I HAVE BEEN UNABLE, WITH REASONABLE DILIGENCE, TO ASCERTAIN THE RESIDENCE ADDRESSES OF THE FOLLOWING DEFENDANTS:									
COURTHOUSE ASSIGNMENT I hereby certify that this case should be assigned to the courthouse indicated below pursuant to Local Rule for Division of Business 18, 20 or 21.									
Check one: THIS	S ACTION SH	HOULD E	BE ASSIGNED	то: 🗌 WI	HITE PLA	INS [MANHATTAN	1	
DATE 03/04/2020	SONDATURE	OF ATTO	RNEY OF RECOR	2n	ADMI	TTED TO PR	ACTICE IN THIS DISTF	RICT	
• (SIGNATURE	. OF ALIO	NIVET OF RECOR	ND .	[] [X] Y	ES (DATE AL	OMITTED Mo.	Yr)	
RECEIPT#)			Attorr	ney Bar Code	#JH5253		
Magistrate Judge	e is to be des	ignated	by the Clerk of	the Court.					
Magistrate Judge	·						is so Designated.		
Ruby J. Krajick, (Clerk of Cour	rt by	D	eputy Clerk, DA	TED		·		
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT (NEW YORK SOUTHERN)									

Case 1:20-cv-01880-LJL Document 1 Filed 03/03/20 Page 1 of 9

UNITED STATES DISTRICT OF		
ANDREW SCHWARTZBERG, Plaintiff,		
-against-		LATL
CHET MINING CO, LLC STOJANOVICH,	and CHET	
	Defendants.	
		_

TO THE ABOVE-NAMED DEFENDANTS:

The plaintiff, Andrew Schwartzberg (hereinafter referred to as "plaintiff"), by and through his attorneys, E. Stewart Jones Hacker Murphy LLP, as and for a complaint herein against the defendants (hereinafter referred to as "defendants"), alleges as follows:

INTRODUCTION

1. This is a breach of contract / fraud action wherein plaintiff paid for, but defendant failed to deliver, equipment costing \$501,672.00. Defendants have not delivered the goods or refunded plaintiff's purchase money despite due demand.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 2. This Court has diversity jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332 because the parties are diverse and the amount in controversy exceeds \$75,000.00.
 - 3. The plaintiff is an individual domiciled in the State of California.
- 4. The defendant Chet Mining Co, LLC was formed and exists under the laws of the State of Wyoming and has its headquarters located in the State of New York.

Case 1:20-cv-01880-LJL Document 1 Filed 03/03/20 Page 2 of 9

- 5. The defendant Chet Stojanovich is domiciled the State of New York.
- 6. Venue is proper within the Southern District of New York pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(1) and (2) as the subject transactions and occurrences took place in the Southern District of New York and the defendants are located in the Southern District of New York.
- 7. This Court has jurisdiction over defendants pursuant to NY CPLR § 301 as defendants are principally headquartered and reside in the State of New York, City of New York, and NY CPLR § 302(1) because defendants regularly transact and do business in New York deriving substantial financial gain from the same.

DEMAND FOR JURY

8. Plaintiff hereby demands a jury trial.

PARTIES

- 9. At all times mentioned herein, the plaintiff, Andrew Schwartzberg is an individual domiciled in the State of California.
- 10. Chet Mining Co, LLC is a foreign limited liability company existing under the laws of the State of Wyoming.
- 11. Chet Mining Co, LLC has its registered address and headquarters at 50 West St, Apartment 29A, New York, New York 10006.
- 12. Upon information and belief, the registered address of Chet Mining Co, LLC, 50 West Street, Apartment 29A, New York, New York 10006, was Chet Stojanovich's personal residence and address at the time of entity formation and at the time of the transactions described herein.

Case 1:20-cv-01880-LJL Document 1 Filed 03/03/20 Page 3 of 9

- 13. Upon information and belief, Chet Stojanovich is an individual currently domiciled in the State of New York, City of New York, with a current residential address of 105 Duane Street, Apartment 20F, New York, New York 10007.
- 14. Upon information and belief, Chet Stojanovich is the sole member of Chet MiningCo, LLC.
- 15. Upon information and belief, Chet Stojanovich owns, operates, and otherwise formed multiple entities using his personal residence, including Chet Mining Co, LLC.
- 16. Upon information and belief, Chet Stojanovich through Chet Mining Co, LLP purports to distribute and sell bitcoin mining equipment to the public.
- 17. Upon information and belief, Chet Stojanovich comingles the finances of his business entities, using the same for his personal use and benefit.
- 18. Upon information and belief, Chet Stojanovich transfers money between his various entities rendering them undercapitalized and insolvent.
- 19. Upon information and belief, Chet Stojanovich completely dominates and controls Chet Mining Co, LLC and uses that business entity to defraud his customers and hinder creditors, such as the plaintiff herein.
- 20. Upon information and belief Chet Stojanovich regularly fails to observe business formalities with regard to his management and operation of Chet Mining Co, LLC.
- 21. Upon information and belief, Chet Stojanovich used Chet Mining Co, LLC to commit fraudulent and wrongful acts against plaintiff and other members of the public.
- 22. As such, Chet Mining Co, LLC is the alter ego of Chet Stojanovich and he is personally liable for Chet Mining Co, LLC's debts under a veil piercing or alter ego theory.

Case 1:20-cv-01880-LJL Document 1 Filed 03/03/20 Page 4 of 9

AS AND FOR A FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION (BREACH OF CONTRACT)

- 23. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates and realleges each and every allegation set forth in the above paragraphs as if repeated and set forth at length in this cause of action.
 - 24. That Plaintiff is in the bitcoin mining business.
- 25. That in or around May of 2019, defendant Chet Mining Co, LLC by and through Chet Stojanovich represented to plaintiff that it was a legitimate purveyor of bitcoin mining computers known as "S9 Antminers" and "L3+ Antminers" and had several for sale.
- 26. Defendants thereafter offered to sell plaintiff Three Hundred and Twenty (320) S9 Antminers for \$220,800.00 and Six Hundred (600) L3+ Antminers for \$247,200.00 with applicable taxes totaling \$501,672.00 (hereinafter collectively referred to as "the Antminers").
 - 27. Plaintiff accepted said offer.
- 28. On May 21, 2019 defendants delivered to plaintiff invoice #ANSHW001 in the amount of \$501,672.00 requesting immediate payment for the Antminers.
- 29. On May 22, 2019 plaintiff made payment to defendants in the amount of \$501,672.00 via wire transfer.
- 30. After said payment, none of the Antminers were delivered to plaintiff and defendants have refused to deliver the same despite plaintiff's repeated demand for the equipment or his money back.
- 31. Plaintiff fully performed under the contract by tendering the purchase price as requested in full.
 - 32. Defendants have breached their contract with plaintiff.
 - 33. Defendants have wrongfully refused to refund plaintiff's funds.

Case 1:20-cv-01880-LJL Document 1 Filed 03/03/20 Page 5 of 9

- 34. Defendants have failed to deliver the Antminers that plaintiff bought and paid for.
- 35. As a direct and proximate result of defendants' breach of contract plaintiff suffered actual and consequential damages.
- 36. Upon information and belief, plaintiff suffered consequential damages due to his lost profits caused by the absence of the Antminers in an amount estimated to exceed \$100,000.00.
- 37. Defendants are liable to plaintiff for breach of contract for a sale of goods and plaintiff is entitled to \$501,672.00, plus consequential damages in the amount of \$100,000.00, plus interest at the legal rate thereon from and after May 21, 2019.

AS AND FOR A SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION (FRAUD)

- 38. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates and realleges each and every allegation set forth in above paragraphs as if repeated and set forth at length in this cause of action.
- 39. Defendant Stojanovich used his company Chet Mining Co, LLC to commit fraud as against plaintiff.
- 40. Defendant Stojanovich used the Chet Mining Co, LLC entity's seemingly legitimate facade to induce plaintiff into entering into a contract for a sale of goods that defendants knew they would not and could not perform.
- 41. That at all times defendant Stojanovich intended to cause Chet Mining Co, LLC to breach said contract, and never intended to perform the contract.
- 42. That defendant Stojanovich accepted plaintiff's \$501,672.00, by and through Chet Mining Co, LLC, intending on keeping said purchase money for his own personal use and benefit without delivering the goods sold to plaintiff.

Case 1:20-cv-01880-LJL Document 1 Filed 03/03/20 Page 6 of 9

- 43. That as a result of Stojanovich's fraud, plaintiff paid defendants \$501,672.00 in purchase money for the Antminers.
- 44. That defendant Stojanovich, upon information and belief, transferred the plaintiff's purchase money out of Chet Mining Co, LLC's bank account, for the personal benefit of Stojanovich.
- 45. That Chet Stojanovich, individually, used the corporate entity to commit fraud on the plaintiff with malice aforethought for his own personal benefit.
- 46. The Federal Bureau of Investigation is currently conducting a criminal investigation into the aforesaid fraudulent transaction described herein.
- 47. That as a result of the fraud and theft committed by Chet Stojanovich, he and Chet Mining Co, LLC are jointly and severally liable to plaintiff for \$501,672.00 plus attorney's fees, plus punitive damages in the amount of \$250,000.00 and interest at the legal rate from and after May 21, 2019.

AS AND FOR A THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION (CONVERSION)

- 48. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates and realleges each and every allegation set forth in the above paragraphs as if repeated and set forth at length in this cause of action.
- 49. That plaintiff owns and is entitled to the exclusive possession and use of the Antminers he bought from Chet Mining Co, LLC.
- 50. That defendant Stojanovich wrongfully used Chet Mining Co, LLC to convert the Antminers and plaintiff's purchase money.
 - 51. The Antminers were worth \$501,672.00.

Case 1:20-cv-01880-LJL Document 1 Filed 03/03/20 Page 7 of 9

- 52. That defendants have wrongfully retained plaintiff's funds and the Antminers despite due demand from plaintiff for the return of said funds or fulfillment of the contract.
- 53. That defendant Stojanovich has committed the tort of conversion and, as the owner and managing member of Chet Mining Co, LLC, he is individually liable to the plaintiff in the amount of \$501,672.00, plus attorney's fees, punitive damages and interest.

AS AND FOR A FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION (NY UCC § 2-711)

- 54. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates and realleges each and every allegation set forth in the above paragraphs as if repeated and set forth at length in this cause of action.
- 55. That pursuant to NY UCC § 2-711, when the seller fails to make the delivery of goods, the buyer is entitled to a refund of the paid purchase price.
- 56. That plaintiff is also entitled to damages pursuant to NY UCC § 2-713 for the difference in the market price at the time of purchase compared to the current market price of the goods.
- 57. Upon information and belied it would now cost plaintiff an estimated additional \$50,000.00 to purchase the Antminers.
- 58. Pursuant to NY UCC § 2-711 and 2-713 plaintiff is entitled to damages in the amount of \$501,672.00, plus the difference in market value between the Antminers and the purchase price to "cover" the purchase of new Antminers today, totaling \$551,672.00.

Case 1:20-cv-01880-LJL Document 1 Filed 03/03/20 Page 8 of 9

AS AND FOR A FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION (NY UCC § 2-715)

- 59. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates and realleges each and every allegation set forth in the above paragraphs as if repeated and set forth at length in this cause of action.
- 60. That pursuant to NY UCC § 2-715 plaintiff is entitled to incidental and consequential damages as a result of defendants' breach and failure to deliver the Antminers as contracted with said amount to be determined at trial by the finder of fact.

AS AND FOR A SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION (NY UCC § 2-716)

- 61. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates and realleges each and every allegation set forth in the above paragraphs as if repeated and set forth at length in this cause of action.
- 62. Upon information and belief, the plaintiff has undertaken reasonable efforts to cover the goods that the defendants failed to deliver, and the circumstances indicate that the same will be unavailing.
- 63. That pursuant to NY UCC § 2-716 plaintiff is entitled to specific performance of the parties' contract thus this Court should order that if the defendants are in actual or constructive possession of the Antminers, then they belong to plaintiff and should be delivered to plaintiff as promised.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff demands judgment against the defendants jointly and severally in the amount of \$501,672.00 at the legal rate for actual damages, plus consequential and incidental damages in the amount of \$100,000.00, plus cover damages in the amount of \$50,000.00, plus \$250,000.00 in punitive damages, in addition to specific performance, plus attorney's fees together with the costs and disbursements of this action in addition to whatever other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

Case 1:20-cv-01880-LJL Document 1 Filed 03/03/20 Page 9 of 9

Dated: March 2, 2020

Troy, New York

E. STEWART JONES HACKER MURPHY LLP

By:

John F. Harwick, Esq.
SDNY Bar No: JH5253
Attorneys for Plaintiff
28 Second Street

Troy, New York 12110 Tel. No.: (518) 274-5820